


Report on the main results of the surveillance under article 11 for annex II, IV and V species (Annex B)

Eptesicus serotinus

1. National level

Biogeographical regions and/or marine regions concerned within the Member State: **ALP ATL MED**

map-distribution



2. Biogeographical or marine level

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **ALPINE**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Alcalde, J. T. and M. C. Escala (1999). "Distribución de los quirópteros en Navarra, España." Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Hist. Nat. (Sec. Biol.) 95 (1-2): 157-171. Palomo, L. J. and J. Gisbert (2002). Atlas de los mamíferos terrestres de España. Madrid, DGCN-SECEM-SECEMU.

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km²: 433,43
- 2.3.2 Date of range determination: 2006
- 2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range: Moderate e.g. based on partial data with some extrapolation
- 2.3.4 Range trend: Unknown (X)
- 2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km² (optional):
- 2.3.6 Range trend period:
- 2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:
and/or specify

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:

Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
5	10	Number of localities

- 2.4.2 Date of population estimation: 2006
- 2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation: Extrapolation from surveys of part of the population or from sampling
- 2.4.4 Quality of data on area: Poor e.g. based on very incomplete data or on expert judgement
- 2.4.5 Population trend: Unknown (X)
- 2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km²):
- 2.4.7 Population trend period:
- 2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:

Eptesicus serotinus

and/or specify:

2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):

2.4.10 Main pressures:

2.4.11 Threats

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.5.1 Habitats for the species:

2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):

2.5.3 Date of estimation:

2.5.4 Quality of the data:

2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:

2.5.6 Trend period:

2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: NotApplicable

Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species: Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper

2.7 Complementary information

2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):

2.7.2 Favourable reference population:

2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):

2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Unknown (XX)	

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: ATLANTIC

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

Galán, P.; Barros, Á.; Cerqueira, F. & Seage, R. (2005). Datos sobre distribución de Quirópteros en el norte de Galicia. Galemys 17(1-2): 71-85.

González-Prieto, S.; Villarino, A. & Freán, M.M. (1991). Distribución de los quirópteros de la provincia de Orense (Noroeste de España). Doñana, Acta Vertebrata, 18(1): 101-112.

Palomo, L.J. & Gisbert, J. (2002). Atlas de los Mamíferos Terrestres de España. DGCN-SECEM-SECEMU. Madrid, 564.

Sánchez-Canals, J.L. & Guitián, J. (1988). Inventario dos Morcegos de Galicia. (Mammalia, Chiroptera). Cadernos da Área de Ciencias Biolóxicas (Inventarios). Seminario de Estudos Galegos, Vol. V. Ed. do Castro. O Castro-Sada, A Coruña. 25.

SGHN (1995). Atlas de Vertebrados de Galicia. Consello da Cultura Galega. Ponencia de Patrimonio Natural. Tomos I y II. Santiago.

Nores, C. & García-Rovés, P. 2007. Libro Rojo de la Fauna del Principado de Asturias. Consejería de Medio Ambiente, Ordenación del Territorio e Infraestructuras del Principado de Asturias-Obra Social “la Caixa”.

AIHARTZA, J.R. 2001. Quirópteros de Araba, Bizkaia y Gipuzkoa: distribución, ecología y conservación. Universidad del País Vasco.

ÁLVAREZ, J. et al. 1998. Vertebrados continentales: situación actual en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Gobierno Vasco.

Eptesicus serotinus

Alcalde, J. T. and M. C. Escala (1999). "Distribución de los quirópteros en Navarra, España." Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Host. Nat. (Sec. Biol.) 95 (1-2): 157-171.Palomo, L. J. and J. Gisbert (2002). Atlas de los mamíferos terrestres de España. Madrid, DGCN-SECEM-SECEMU.

Palomo, L.J. y Gisbert, J. 2002. Atlas de los Mamíferos terrestres de España. Dirección General de Conservación de la Naturaleza-SECEM-SECEMU, Madrid, 564 pp.

Fernández Gutiérrez, J. 2002. Los murciélagos en Castilla y León. Atlas de distribución y tamaño de las poblaciones. Junta de Castilla y León. Consejería de Medio Ambiente. Náyade Producciones, S.L. Valladolid.

Velasco, J.C., Lizana, M., Román, J., Delibes, M. & Fernández, J. 2005. Guía de los peces, anfibios, reptiles y mamíferos de Castilla y León. Náyade Editorial. Medina del Campo (Valladolid).

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2:	13137,29
2.3.2 Date of range determination:	1970-2007
2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:	Good e.g based on extensive surveys
2.3.4 Range trend:	Stable (=)
2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):	
2.3.6 Range trend period:	1995-2007
2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:	Unknown
and/or specify	

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:	Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
	134	152	Number of localities
2.4.2 Date of population estimation:	2002-2007		
2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:	Extrapolation from surveys of part of the population or from sampling		
2.4.4 Quality of data on area:	Good e.g based on extensive surveys		
2.4.5 Population trend:	Stable (=)		
2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):			
2.4.7 Population trend period:	1995-2007		
2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:	Unknown		
and/or specify:			
2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):			
2.4.10 Main pressures:	110 Use of pesticides 141 - abandonment of pastoral systems 150 Restructuring agricultural land holding 160 General Forestry management 162 - artificial planting 166 - removal of dead and dying trees 180 Burning 244 - other forms of taking fauna 490 Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities 623 - motorised vehicles 700 Pollution 740 Vandalism		
2.4.11 Threats	110 Use of pesticides		

Eptesicus serotinus

- 141 - abandonment of pastoral systems
- 150 Restructuring agricultural land holding
- 151 - removal of hedges and copses
- 160 General Forestry management
- 162 - artificial planting
- 166 - removal of dead and dying trees
- 180 Burning
- 490 Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities
- 623 - motorised vehicles
- 700 Pollution
- 740 Vandalism

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.5.1 Habitats for the species: Bosques y zonas agrícolas.
- 2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):
- 2.5.3 Date of estimation:
- 2.5.4 Quality of the data:
- 2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:
- 2.5.6 Trend period:
- 2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: NotApplicable

Other (specify):

- 2.6 Future prospects for the species: Good prospects - species expected to survive and prosper

2.7 Complementary information

- 2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):
- 2.7.2 Favourable reference population:
- 2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):
- 2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Unknown (XX)	

2.1 Biogeographical region or marine region: **MEDITERRANEAN**

2.2 Published sources and/or websites:

González-Prieto, S.; Villarino, A. & Freán, M.M. (1991). Distribución de los quirópteros de la provincia de Orense (Noroeste de España). Doñana, Acta Vertebrata, 18(1): 101-112.

Palomo, L.J. & Gisbert, J. (2002). Atlas de los Mamíferos Terrestres de España. DGCN-SECEM-SECEMU. Madrid, 564.

Sánchez-Canals, J.L. & Guitián, J. (1988). Inventario dos Morcegos de Galicia. (Mammalia, Chiroptera). Cadernos da Área de Ciencias Biolóxicas (Inventarios). Seminario de Estudos Galegos, Vol. V. Ed. do Castro. O Castro-Sada, A Coruña. 25.

SGHN (1995). Atlas de Vertebrados de Galicia. Consello da Cultura Galega. Ponencia de Patrimonio Natural. Tomos I y II. Santiago.

AIHARTZA, J.R. 2001. Quirópteros de Araba, Bizkaia y Gipuzkoa: distribución, ecología y conservación. Universidad del País Vasco.

ÁLVAREZ, J. et al. 1998. Vertebrados continentales: situación actual en la Comunidad Autónoma del País Vasco. Gobierno Vasco.

Eptesicus serotinus

Alcalde, J. T. and M. C. Escala (1999). "Distribución de los quirópteros en Navarra, España." Bol. R. Soc. Esp. Host. Nat. (Sec. Biol.) 95 (1-2): 157-171.

AGIRRE-MENDI, P.T., ZALDÍVAR, C., 1991. Contribución al Atlas Mastozológico de la Comunidad Autónoma de La Rioja I. Revista Zubía 9: 65-88.

AGIRRE-MENDI, P.T., 2001. Eficacia de una orden administrativa para la protección de Colonias de murciélagos en La Rioja Barbastella, 2.

AGIRRE-MENDI, P.T., 2003. Protección de refugios de quirópteros (Mammalia: Chiroptera) en la Comunidad Autónoma de La Rioja: Resultados de las campañas de 1998, 1999, 2000 y 2001. Revista Zubía 21: 63-70.

Benzal, J. & O. De Paz (eds.). 1991. Los murciélagos de España y Portugal. Colección Técnica. ICONA. Madrid.

Benzal, J. 2002. Bases para el manejo y conservación de los Quirópteros de la Comunidad de Madrid. Comunidad de Madrid- Consejería de Medio ambiente. Madrid, 181 pp.

De Paz, O. y De Lucas, J. 2006. Seguimiento de refugios y valoración del estado de las poblaciones de quirópteros cavernícolas en la Comunidad Autónoma de Madrid (año 2006). Consejería de Medio Ambiente y Ordenación del Territorio- Myotis C.B. Madrid.

Fernández Gutiérrez, J. 2002. Los murciélagos en Castilla y León. Atlas de distribución y tamaño de las poblaciones. Junta de Castilla y León. Consejería de Medio Ambiente. Náyade Producciones, S.L. Valladolid.

Velasco, J.C., Lizana, M., Román, J., Delibes, M. & Fernández, J. 2005. Guía de los peces, anfibios, reptiles y mamíferos de Castilla y León. Náyade Editorial. Medina del Campo (Valladolid).

2.3 Range of the species type in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.3.1 Surface area of species range in km2:	23738,35
2.3.2 Date of range determination:	2001-2007
2.3.3 Quality of data concerning range:	Good e.g based on extensive surveys
2.3.4 Range trend:	
2.3.5 Range trend magnitude in km2 (optional):	
2.3.6 Range trend period:	
2.3.7 Reasons for reported trend:	Not applicable
and/or specify	

2.4 Population of the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

2.4.1 Population size estimation:	Population size estimation (minimum)	Population size estimation (maximum)	Population units
	240	240	Number of localities
2.4.2 Date of population estimation:	2002-2007		
2.4.3 Methods used for population estimation:			
2.4.4 Quality of data on area:	Good e.g based on extensive surveys		
2.4.5 Population trend:	Decreasing (-)		
2.4.6 Population trend magnitude (km2):			
2.4.7 Population trend period:			
2.4.8 Reasons for reported trend:	Direct human influence (restoration, deterioration, destruction)		
and/or specify:			
2.4.9 Justification of % thresholds for trends (optional):			
2.4.10 Main pressures:	110 Use of pesticides		
	141 - abandonment of pastoral systems		

Eptesicus serotinus

- 160 General Forestry management
 - 180 Burning
 - 244 - other forms of taking fauna
 - 490 Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities
 - 507 - bridge, viaduct
 - 623 - motorised vehicles
 - 790 Other pollution or human impacts/activities
 - 990 Other natural processes
- 2.4.11 Threats
- 110 Use of pesticides
 - 141 - abandonment of pastoral systems
 - 160 General Forestry management
 - 180 Burning
 - 244 - other forms of taking fauna
 - 490 Other urbanisation, industrial and similar activities
 - 507 - bridge, viaduct
 - 623 - motorised vehicles
 - 790 Other pollution or human impacts/activities
 - 990 Other natural processes

2,5 Habitat for the species in the biogeographic region or marine region

- 2.5.1 Habitats for the species: Bosques y zonas agrícolas. Utilizan como refugios naturales las grietas y fisuras en
 - 2.5.2 Area estimation (km2):
 - 2.5.3 Date of estimation:
 - 2.5.4 Quality of the data:
 - 2.5.5 Trend of the habitat:
 - 2.5.6 Trend period:
 - 2.5.7 Reasons for reported trend: NotApplicable
- Other (specify):

2.6 Future prospects for the species:

2.7 Complementary information

- 2.7.1 Favourable reference range (km2):
- 2.7.2 Favourable reference population:
- 2.7.3 Suitable habitat for the species (km2):
- 2.7.4 Other relevant information (optional):

Conclusion	Biogeographical or marine level	Conclusions within Natura 2000 sites (optional)
Conclusions: (2.3) Range:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.4) Population:	Inadequate (U1)	
Conclusions: (2.5) Habitat for the species:	Unknown (XX)	
Conclusions: (2.6) Future prospects:	Inadequate (U1)	
Conclusions: Overall assessment:	Inadequate (U1)	